l	Case 4:19-cv-03161-YGR Document 8	B9 Filed 07/08/21 Page 1 of 5	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	David L. Witcoff (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) Illinois State Bar No. 06183629 dlwitcoff@jonesday.com JONES DAY 77 West Wacker Dr. Chicago, IL 60601 Telephone: (312) 782-3939 Facsimile: (312) 782-8585  Michael C. Hendershot (State Bar No. 211830 mhendershot@jonesday.com JONES DAY 1755 Embarcadero Road Palo Alto, CA 94303 Telephone: (650) 739-3940 Facsimile: (650) 739-3900 Attorneys for Defendant NXP USA, INC.		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13			
14	IMPINJ, INC.,	Case No. 4:19-cv-03161-YGR	
15	Plaintiff,	Case No. 4.19-CV-03101-1 GR	
16	V.	STIPULATION TO DISMISS COUNTERCLAIMS AND AFFIRMATIVE	
17	NXP USA, INC.,	DEFENSES	
18	Defendant.		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		CTIDLII ATION TO DICAMOS COLDITEDOL AD CO	
		STIPULATION TO DISMISS COUNTERCLAIMS '	

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a) and (c), the parties offer this stipulation to simplify the case in light of the fact that only four patents remain at issue. Specifically, only the '302 and '631 Patents (which are not stayed), and the '597 and '266 Patents (which currently are stayed until September 1, 2021), remain in dispute in this action.

## I. Background

On June 6, 2019, Plaintiff Impinj, Inc. filed a Complaint (Dkt. 1) accusing Defendant NXP, USA, Inc. ("NXP") of infringing 26 patents. NXP's Answer (Dkt. 24) asserted counterclaims, *inter alia*, for Fraudulent Concealment, Breach of Contract, and Promissory Estoppel. These counterclaims relate to 13 of the 26 patents (the "Gen2 V2 Patents"), none of which are still being asserted in this action. NXP also asserted a number of affirmative defenses relating to the Gen2 V2 Patents.

Through Impinj's First and Second Amended Complaint (Dkt. Nos. 59 and 71), as well as a Court-ordered reduction of asserted claims (Dkt. No. 39), this case has now been narrowed down to four patents: U.S. Patent Nos. 9,495,631 ("the '631 Patent"); 9,633,302 ("the '302 Patent"); 8,115,597 ("the '597 Patent"); and 10,002,266 ("the '266 Patent").

On March 30, 2020, the Court granted the parties' Stipulated Request to Stay Proceedings (Dkt. No. 63) on the six patents then remaining in the case at that time, pending *inter partes* review proceedings ("IPR") in the Patent and Trademark Office ("PTAB"). In relevant part, the PTAB instituted IPR proceedings against U.S. Patent Nos. 9,471,816 ("the '816 Patent") and 8,344,857 ("the '857 Patent"), and denied institution on the '631, '302, '597 and '266 Patents. Impinj has dismissed the '816 and '857 Patents from this lawsuit. Dkt. 71, 75. On September 24, 2020, the Court lifted the stay as to the '631 and '302 Patents, but maintained the stay over the '597 and '266 Patents because they are similar in subject matter to the '816 and '857 Patents in the instituted IPR proceedings. Dkt. 65.

The Court set a briefing schedule for claim construction as to the '631 and '302 Patents only, with a claim construction hearing that originally was set for March 24, 2021, but then was continued until July 23, 2021. Dkt. Nos. 70 and 82. As for the stayed '597 and '266 Patents, the

- 1			
1	Court indicated that once the IPR proceedings we	ere concluded on the similar '816 and '857 Patents	
2	— which will occur no later than September 1, 2021 — it would be willing to approve an accelerate		
3	schedule to return the '597 and '266 Patents to	the case, which will include claim construction	
4	briefing and an additional claim construction he	aring. Dkt. 70. The parties believe that they also	
5	will be able to agree to a schedule that allows all f	Four patents to be tried together, without significant	
6	disruption to the current timeline.		
7		NXP affirmative defenses and counterclaims that	
8	do not relate to the four patents that remain in this case, <i>i.e.</i> , the '631, '302, '597 and '266 Patents		
9	II. Stipulation to Dismiss		
10	In a good faith effort to continue to streamline this case and expeditiously resolve this		
11	dispute, NXP hereby stipulates to the dismissal without prejudice of NXP's Thirteenth, Fourteenth		
12	and Fifteenth Counterclaims (for Fraudulent Concealment, Breach of Contract, and Promissor		
13	Estoppel, respectively) as well as NXP's Th	nird, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, and Eighth	
14	Affirmative Defenses (for Royalty-Free License, RAND License, Unclean Hands, Equitable		
15	Estoppel, Waiver/Implied Waiver, and Patent Misuse, respectively).		
16			
17	Respectfully submitted: July 8, 2021.		
18			
19	By: /s/ Ramsey M. Al-Salam	By: /s/ T. Kaitlin Crowder	
20	Ramsey M. Al-Salam, Bar No. 109506 Christina J. McCullough, Bar No. 245944	David L. Witcoff (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Thomas W. Ritchie ( <i>admitted pro hac vice</i> )	
	Antoine M. McNamara, Bar No. 261980	JONES DAY	
21	R. Tyler Kendrick, admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> PERKINS COIE LLP	77 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60601	
22	1201 Third Avenue, 49th Floor	Tel: 312.782.3939/Fax: 312.782.8585	
22	Seattle, WA 98101	dlwitcoff@jonesday.com	
23	Tel: 206.359.8000/Fax: 206.359.9000	twritchie@jonesday.com	
24	RAlSalam@perkinscoie.com	N. 1 . 1 . 1 . 1 . 1 . 1 . 2 . 2 . 2 . 1 . 1	
25	AMcNamara@perkinscoie.com	Michael C. Hendershot, Bar No. 211830	
25	CMcCullough@perkinscoie.com RKendrick@perkinscoie.com	JONES DAY 1755 Embarcadero Road	
26	rescharioka/porkinscole.com	Palo Alto, CA 94303	
	Daniel T. Shvodian, Bar No. 184576	Tel: 650.739.3940/Fax: 650.739.3900	
27	PERKINS COIE LLP	mhendershot@jonesday.com	

3150 Porter Drive

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Palo Alto, CA 94304 Tel: 650.838.4300/Fax: 650.737.5461 DShvodian@perkinscoie.com  Daniel T. Keese, Bar No. 280683 PERKINS COIE LLP 1120 N.W. Couch Street, 10th Floor Portland, OR 97209-4128 Tel: 503.727.2000/Fax: 503.727.2222 DKeese@perkinscoie.com  Attorneys for Plaintiff IMPINJ, INC.	T. Kaitlin Crowder (admitted pro hac vice) 901 Lakeside Ave Cleveland, OH 44114 Tel: (216) 586-7347 Email: kcrowder@jonesday.com  Yury Kalish (admitted pro hac vice) 51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W. Washington D.C. 20001 Tel: (202) 879-3616 Email: ykalish@jonesday.com  Attorneys for Defendant NXP USA, INC.
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20   21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		STIPULATION TO DISMISS COUNTERCLAIMS

Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1, the below filer attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the above Signatories. /s/ T. Kaitlin Crowder T. Kaitlin Crowder